

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED	INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO
09/189,250	11/10/98	SILVESTER		К	INTL-0154-US
_			\neg		EXAMINER
		WM01/1015	•		
TIMOTHY N TROP				<u>HOOSA</u>	IN-A
TROP PRUNER HU & MILES				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
8554 KATY F	REEWAY STE			2645	10
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				DATE MAILE) :
					10/15/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	A 17 A1	A 11 44 3					
	Application No. Applicant(s)						
Advisory Action	09/189,250	SILVESTER, KELAN C.					
	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Allan Hoosain	2645					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 28 September 2001 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appears amination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applice I) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to a chiplaces the application in	ı				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of	f the final rejection.					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data are been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 7 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most partned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate extension fee the final Office action; or (2) as set	under forth in				
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF 							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:						
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifyii	ng the				
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.					
Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amend	lment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because: Se	r reconsideration has been cons <u>e Continuation of 5 below:</u> .	sidered but does NOT place	the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: 26-38.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	proved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·					
10. Other:		Allan Hoosain Primary Examiner	N				
		Art Unit: 2645					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 5:

Response to Request for Reconsideration:

(a) Pepe's Col. 20, lines 42-53 does not teach converting a subject portion of a voice mail to text. This is because Pepe's Col. 27, lines 62-66 and Col. 28, lines 15-23 do not also teach a subject portion.

Examiner agrees that the passages at Cols. 27 and 28 do not teach a subject portion. However, these passages do not exclude a subject portion as taught in the passage at Col. 20. The body of an e-mail notification message is taught at Col. 20. In the body, the message that was received could be voice mail or any other type (Col. 20, lines 49-50). Part of this body is a subject. Therefore, as argued by Examiner before, this passage teaches converting a subject portion of a voice mail message to text and the argument that the phrase "if appropriate" refers only to converting an e-mail subject to voice format is not convincing.

Pepe suggests that the inclusion of the subject is based on parameters in a subscriber's profile (Col. 28, lines 15-18). Pepe teaches that text notification of received voice messages can be performed if such notification is in a subscriber's profile (Col. 28, lines 33-40). At Col. 28, lines 41-46, Pepe teaches screening voice messages for priority information. Priority information is part of the notification body in the teachings at Col. 20. Therefore, the passage at Col. 20, does teach that an e-mail notification of a received voice message contains priority information and subject information if appropriate.